International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 7 Issue 2, March-April 2023 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

Randomized Clinical Trial

Atika Siddiqua[1], Hiba Ahmed[2], P. Aravind[3]

[1]Pharm D, Student at ClinoSol Research, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
[2]M. Pharmacy, Student at ClinoSol Research, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
[3]B. Pharmacy, Student at ClinoSol Research, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

ABSTRACT

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are widely used in medical research to assess the effectiveness of medical interventions. RCTs are designed to reduce the potential for bias and increase the reliability of results by randomly assigning participants to either the treatment group or the control group. In an RCT, the treatment group receives the experimental intervention, while the control group receives either no intervention or the standard treatment. By comparing the outcomes of the two groups, researchers can determine whether the intervention is effective, less effective, or no different in effectiveness. There are various types of RCT designs, including simple RCT, cluster RCT, and factorial design. Blinding is a technique used to reduce bias in RCTs. The results of RCTs are widely used to inform clinical practice, health policy, and decision-making.

KEYWORDS: *Randomized controlled trials, Clinical trials, Medical research, Intervention, Treatment efficacy*

How to cite this paper: Atika Siddiqua | Hiba Ahmed | P. Aravind "Randomized Clinical Trial" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-7 | Issue-2, April 2023, pp.607-611, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd55088.pdf

IJTSRD55088

INTRODUCTION

Randomized clinical trial or randomized controlled trial (RCT) is a trial in which subjects are randomly assigned to one of two groups: the experimental group or treatment group and the comparison group or control group.[1,2,3] The experimental group is the group receiving the intervention or the drug being tested. The control group is the group receiving the standard or conventional treatment. The two groups are then followed up to determine the differences between their outcomes.[3,4] The results and subsequent analysis of the trial are used to assess the effectiveness of the intervention, that is the extent to which a treatment, procedure, medical device or service benefits the patients.[5,6]

Randomized clinical trials (RCTs) are a type of scientific experiment that are widely used in medical research to assess the effectiveness of medical interventions.[7] They are designed to reduce the potential for bias and increase the reliability of the results by randomly assigning participants to either the treatment group or the control group.[8] This random assignment helps to ensure that any differences in the outcomes between the two groups are due to the intervention being tested, rather than any pre-existing differences between the participants.[9]

In an RCT, the treatment group receives the experimental intervention, which could be a new drug, a medical device, a surgical procedure, a behavioral intervention, or a public health intervention, depending on the research question being investigated. The control group, on the other hand, receives either no intervention or the standard or conventional treatment that is currently available for the condition being studied. By comparing the outcomes of the two groups, researchers can determine whether the experimental intervention is more effective than the standard treatment, less effective, or no different in effectiveness.[10]

After the participants have been randomly assigned to either the treatment group or the control group, they are followed up over a defined period of time to determine the differences between their outcomes. The outcomes that are measured can vary depending on the research question being investigated, but they often include measures such as survival rates,

symptom relief, quality of life, and adverse effects of the intervention.[8,9,10]

The results of the trial are then analyzed to determine the effectiveness of the intervention being tested. This analysis can involve statistical methods to compare the outcomes of the treatment group and the control group, and to determine the likelihood that any observed differences between the groups are due to chance or are actually a result of the intervention being tested. Once the results have been analyzed, they are often published in peer-reviewed scientific journals and can be used to inform clinical practice guidelines, regulatory decisions, and insurance coverage decisions.[10]

Overall, randomized clinical trials are considered the gold standard for evaluating the effectiveness of medical interventions, as they are designed to minimize the risk of bias and increase the reliability of the results. While they are not without limitations, RCTs remain an essential tool for improving patient care and advancing medical knowledge.

Types of Randomized Controlled Trials:

1. Simple RCT design:

A simple RCT design has only one treatment group and one control group. Participants are randomly assigned to either the treatment group, where they receive the experimental intervention, or the control group, where they receive either no intervention or the standard treatment. The outcomes of the two groups are then compared to determine whether the intervention is effective. This design is typically used when there is only one intervention being tested and there is no need to compare different types of interventions.[11]

2. Cluster RCT design:

In a cluster RCT design, participants are randomized into groups or "clusters" rather than as individuals. These clusters could be schools, communities, hospitals, or any other group that is relevant to the research question. Each cluster is then randomly assigned to either the treatment group or the control group. This design is often used when the intervention being tested is not possible to deliver to individuals and is better suited for delivery at the group level. For example, a public health intervention aimed at reducing smoking rates may be delivered to entire communities rather than individual participants.[12]

Within the cluster RCT design, there can be multiple treatment groups and a control group. For example, the first treatment group could receive Intervention - A, the second treatment group could receive Intervention - B, and so on. This allows researchers to compare the effectiveness of different interventions and determine which one works best.[13]

3. Factorial design:

In a factorial design, there are two or more interventions being tested simultaneously. Participants are randomly assigned to one of four groups: the first group receives Intervention - A only, the second group receives Intervention - B only, the third group receives both Intervention - A and B, and the fourth group receives no intervention or the standard treatment.

This design allows researchers to test the effectiveness of each intervention individually and in combination with each other. For example, if Intervention - A is a new medication and Intervention - B is a dietary change, the factorial design would allow researchers to determine the effectiveness of each intervention on its own as well as the effectiveness of the two interventions combined.[14]

The choice of RCT design depends on the research question being asked and the intervention being tested. Researchers carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each design before selecting the most appropriate one for their study.[11-15]

Advantages of Randomized controlled trials:

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have several advantages that make them one of the most rigorous designs for testing the efficacy of a treatment or intervention. Here are some detailed explanations of the advantages of RCTs:

- **Most convincing design:**

RCTs are considered the most convincing design for determining the effectiveness of an intervention because they use randomization to ensure that participants are assigned to the treatment and control groups without any bias. This eliminates the possibility that any observed differences between the two groups are due to chance or some other factor, and increases the confidence in the results.[4,5,6]

- **Only design which controls for unknown or unmeasurable confounders:**

Randomization in RCTs also ensures that any unknown or unmeasurable confounding variables are distributed evenly between the treatment and control groups. This means that the effect of the intervention can be attributed to the intervention itself, rather than to some other factor that may be influencing the outcome.[7.8]

- **Good randomization can eliminate any population bias:**

Good randomization techniques ensure that the study population is representative of the larger population

being studied. This means that any observed effect of the intervention can be generalized to the larger population with greater confidence.[4]

- **They are easier to blind / mask than observational studies:**

RCTs are often designed to be double-blind, where neither the participant nor the researcher knows which group the participant has been assigned to. This reduces the risk of bias in the study, as both the participant and researcher are less likely to be influenced by their expectations or beliefs about the intervention.[6]

- **The results can be analyzed with familiar statistical tools:**

RCTs are designed to be highly controlled and standardized, which makes the results easier to analyze using well-established statistical techniques. This makes it easier to compare the results of different RCTs and to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of the intervention being studied.[8]

- **The populations of participating individuals are clearly identified:**

In RCTs, participants are clearly identified and their characteristics are well-defined. This makes it easier to determine the generalizability of the results to other populations and to understand which populations may benefit most from the intervention.

Randomized controlled trials are considered one of the most rigorous designs for testing the efficacy of interventions. Their advantages include the ability to control for confounding variables, eliminate bias, and the ability to generalize results to larger populations. Additionally, RCTs are often designed to be double-blind, making them less susceptible to bias and easier to analyze statistically.[12]

Disadvantages of Randomized controlled trials:

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are considered the gold standard for evaluating the efficacy of treatments or interventions. However, they also have some disadvantages that should be considered when evaluating their use. Here are some detailed explanations of the disadvantages of RCTs:

- **Most expensive in terms of time and money:**

RCTs are often the most expensive type of clinical study to conduct, due to the need for a large sample size, strict inclusion criteria, and long follow-up periods. The cost of conducting RCTs can limit their feasibility and availability.[12]

- **Artificial:**

RCTs are often conducted under highly controlled conditions, which may not reflect real-world clinical practice. This artificial environment can limit the generalizability of the results to a broader population.[2]

- **Ethical objections:**

RCTs require that some participants receive a placebo or no treatment, which can raise ethical concerns about withholding treatment from patients. Additionally, RCTs may expose participants to unnecessary risks, which can also raise ethical concerns.[5]

- **The population involved is selected, so it can be different from real clinical practice and may not be representative of the whole:**

RCTs often use a highly selected population, which can limit the generalizability of the results to the broader population. This may result in treatments being developed that work well in a specific population but are not effective in the general population.[4]

- **They cannot be generalized or compared to a general practice setting:**

RCTs are often conducted under highly controlled conditions, which may not reflect real-world clinical practice. This can limit the ability to generalize the results to a broader population or to compare the results to a general practice setting.[2]

- **There may be a loss to follow-up attributed to treatment:**

Participants in RCTs may not complete the study due to the side effects of the treatment or other reasons. This loss to follow-up can affect the reliability of the results and reduce the sample size, which can limit the statistical power of the study.[5]

Randomization:

In experiments having more than one treatment, subjects are assigned to either of the treatment groups. In the absence of guidelines on allocation of subjects, 50% of the subjects may be assigned to one treatment group and the rest 50% of the subjects may be assigned to the other treatment group. This process of allocation of subjects is likely to create bias and imbalance of some factors resulting to an unrealistic conclusion. Hence, the subjects should be randomly assigned to treatment groups. In random assignment, the probability of each subject to either of the treatment groups is equal. No one can predict in advance the treatment group to which the subjects will get assigned. These random assignments are consistent with the laws of probability. The first step in randomized controlled trials is to identify subjects that satisfy the selection criteria (inclusion/exclusion criteria) as specified in the protocol.[16-18]

Randomization techniques:
In randomization, the subjects are assigned to different treatment groups using random tables generated using the following appropriate randomization techniques.

1. Simple Randomization:
In a simple randomization technique, the subjects are assigned to one of the two treatment groups using random tables or advanced computerized techniques.[16-18]

2. Stratified Randomization:
Stratified randomization is used when the researcher is interested to compare the subjects which can be classified in to two groups, called as strata. Then the subjects are then randomly assigned to either of the two treatment groups, using a valid randomization schedule. For example, an experiment in which the subjects can be classified or stratified on the basis of gender to males and females. [16-18]

3. Factorial (balanced) Randomization:
Factorial randomization is used when the researcher is interested to compare the subjects which can be classified into different groups based on two or more factors. For example, an experiment to compare the treatment in depressed and non-depressed male and female subjects. [16-18]

It involves the following steps:
- Identify the subjects satisfying the selection criteria
- Classify subjects based on the factor of sex as males and females
- Further classify subjects based on the factor disease stares as depressed and non-depressed
- Randomized subjects from each type (male depressed, male non-depressed, female depressed, female non-depressed) into treatment groups using a designed randomization schedule.[16-18]

Blinding:
In experiments where the researcher or investigator is aware of what treatment is given to what subject there is a likelihood of bias while assessment of the response by the investigator. For example, there are two treatments where one treatment is well-established and the other is relatively new. The investigator while recording responses may get biased towards the well-established treatment. Similarly, the subjects receiving the well-established treatment may feel better psychologically. Blinding is a technique used to reduce such biases.

Blinding refers to the process of concealing information about the treatment or intervention from one or more parties involved in a study. The purpose of blinding is to reduce bias that may affect the study results and to improve the quality of the evidence generated by the study.[19,20]

- **Open studies:**

Open studies are also known as non-blinded studies. In these studies, both the investigator and the participants are aware of the treatments they are receiving. Open studies are commonly used in studies where blinding is not possible or practical.

- **Single-blind studies:**

Single-blind studies are studies in which only one party, either the investigator or the participants, is aware of the treatment assignments. For example, in a single-blind drug trial, the investigator may be aware of the treatment assignments, but the participants may not know which group they are in.

Double-blind studies:
Double-blind studies are studies in which both the investigator and the participants are unaware of the treatment assignments. This is considered the gold standard for clinical trials because it helps to reduce bias in the study results. Double-blind studies are commonly used in drug trials and other clinical trials. [19,20]

Triple-blind studies:
Triple-blind studies are studies in which the investigator, the participants, and a third party, such as a statistician or laboratory technician, are all unaware of the treatment assignments. This type of blinding is not commonly used, but it may be employed in studies where there is a high risk of bias or where blinding is particularly important.

Overall, the level of blinding used in a study depends on the specific research question and the design of the study. The goal is to choose the appropriate level of blinding that will minimize bias and maximize the quality of the evidence generated by the study. [19,20]

Conclusion
Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are considered the gold standard in clinical research as they provide the most convincing evidence on the effectiveness of medical interventions. RCTs involve randomly assigning subjects to either an experimental group receiving the intervention or a control group receiving standard treatment and then comparing their outcomes. The use of randomization helps to minimize bias and confounding variables, making the results of the study more reliable. However, RCTs also have some limitations, including being expensive and time-consuming to conduct, and the ethical considerations surrounding the use of a control group. Additionally, RCTs may not always be representative of real-world clinical practice, and there may be a loss to follow-up attributed to treatment.

Overall, RCTs are a valuable tool in clinical research and continue to play a critical role in improving healthcare outcomes. Properly designed and executed RCTs provide the best evidence for the effectiveness of medical interventions, leading to better healthcare decision-making and ultimately, improved patient outcomes.

References:

[1] Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Allocation concealment in randomised trials: defending against deciphering. Lancet. 2002; 359(9306): 614-618.

[2] Nundy S, Gulhati CM. A new colonialism? Conducting clinical trials in India. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352(16):1633-1636.

[3] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials. 1996; 17(1):1-12.

[4] Pocock SJ, Hughes MD, Lee RJ. Statistical problems in the reporting of clinical trials. A survey of three medical journals. N Engl J Med. 1987; 317(7):426-432.

[5] Altman DG, Schulz KF, Moher D, et al. The revised CONSORT statement for reporting randomized trials: explanation and elaboration. Ann Intern Med. 2001; 134(8):663-694.

[6] Chalmers I. Underreporting research is scientific misconduct. JAMA. 1990; 263(10):1405-1408.

[7] McLeod, S. A. (2017). Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs). Simply Psychology. https://www.simplypsychology.org/rcts.html

[8] Randomized controlled trial. (2022). In Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Randomized_controlled_trial

[9] Sheth, H., Shah, N., Patel, B., & Bhatt, P. (2019). Randomized controlled trial: A review. Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine, 23(7), 312–316. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijccm.IJCCM_239_18

[10] Huang W, Wang L, Liu J, Zhou Y, Cao Y. Randomized controlled trials: basic principles and practicalities. Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine. 2017; 17(12):1437-1440.

[11] Bhatt, A. (2019). Understanding randomized controlled trials. Industrial Psychiatry Journal, 28(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/ipj.ipj_3_19

[12] Glasgow, R. E., & Emmons, K. M. (2007). How can we increase translation of research into practice? Types of evidence needed. Annual Review of Public Health, 28, 413–433. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.28.021406.144145

[13] Pocock, S. J., & Simon, R. (1975). Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. Biometrics, 31(1), 103–115. https://doi.org/10.2307/2529712

[14] Schulz, K. F., & Grimes, D. A. (2002). Blinding in randomised trials: hiding who got what. The Lancet, 359(9307), 696–700. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07816-9

[15] Thompson, S. G., & Barber, J. A. (2000). How should cost data in pragmatic randomised trials be analysed? British Medical Journal, 320(7243), 1197–1200. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7243.1197

[16] Paranjpe M, Gogtay N. Randomization. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine. 2011; 2(2):63-64. doi: 10.4103/0975-9476.82522.

[17] Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Allocation concealment in randomised trials: defending against deciphering. The Lancet. 2002; 359(9306):614-618. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(02)07750-4.

[18] Pocock SJ, Simon R. Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. Biometrics. 1975; 31(1):103-115. doi:10.2307/2529712.

[19] Bandyopadhyay, S., & Saha, S. (2015). Blinding: a key element in reducing bias in randomized controlled trials. Journal of evidence-based medicine, 8(4), 205-208. doi:10.1111/jebm.12177

[20] Schulz, K. F., & Grimes, D. A. (2002). Blinding in randomised trials: hiding who got what. The Lancet, 359(9307), 696-700. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(02)07816-9